Cosmic Ray - Atmosphere interaction Simulation and Be-7 Production Rate Study 2025 CENUM WorkShop PKNU SeungHoon LEE



2025.01.17

TimeTable

- Introduction
- Geant4 Simulation Setting
- Use Cosmic Ray Library(Cry_v1.7)
- Add the magnetic fields due to limitations of CRY
- Cross Section verification
- Measurement Data
- Comparison with Geant4 data
- Conclusion



Imitations of CRY

Introduction



Introduction



4

Geant4 Simulation Setting

• PhysicsList

Hadronic Process : QGSP_BIC_HP OR FTFP_BIC_HP, G4HadronElasticPhysics, G4IonElasticPhysics, G4IonPhysics

ElectroMagnetic Process : G4EmStandardPhysics_option4

SetCut Value : Proton = 0mm

Electron, positron, gamma = 0mm

G4ProductionCutsTable : 1 eV ~ 1 TeV

• Then what about PrimaryGeneratorAction?

DetectorConstruction

World size : 20m * 20m * 20m

World Material : G4_AIR

Density : $0.00120479 \ g/cm^3$

G4_AIR		0.00120479	85.7
- 6	0.000124		
7	0.755268		
8	0.231781		
18	0.012827		



Use Cosmic Ray Library(Cry_v1.7)



Option

Type of Particle : proton, neutron, electron, gamma, muon, pion

Altitude : 0m, 2100m, 11300m

Latitude : 35.13408024

Date : 2007-01-01



Add the magnetic fields due to limitations of CRY

International Geomagnetic Reference Field

The International Geomagnetic Reference Field (IGRF) is a standard mathematical description of the Earth's main magnetic field. It is used widely in studies of the Earth's deep interior, crust, ionosphere, and magnetosphere. While this web page is hosted at NOAA/NCEI, the model itself is developed and maintained by the International Association of Geomagnetism and Aeronomy (IAGA) .

Latitude Longitude		Radius		Date		Version			
35.245°		129.065°	6371		1.20 km	2025-01- 01		14	
Comp	D	I		x	Y	н		z	F
MF	-8.491	51.645	30	463	-4548	30801	38	925	49637
SV	-2.3	1.9	-7	7.5	-19.5	-4.5	3	9.5	28.2

Save Result Below

- X = Nor Y = Ea H = Horiz
- Z = Vert
- F = Tot







	MF = Main Field	SV = Secular Variation
D = Declination	degrees east	arcmin/year
I = Inclination	degrees down	arcmin/year
a = North Intensity	nT	nT/year
Y = East Intensity	nT	nT/year
Horizontal Intensity	nT	nT/year
= Vertical Intensity	nT down	nT/year
= Total Intensity	nT	nT/year

https://geomag.bgs.ac.uk/data_service/models_compass/igrf_calc.html



Production Cross section verification $^{14}N + p \rightarrow ^{7}Be + X$ $N_{^7Be}$ $\sigma_p =$ × density × thickness N_{proton} p+X



Fig . Cross Sections for the production of 7Be

Number of proton beam	5,000,000		
Target length(cm)	2000		
density(g/cm^3)	0.000909938		
NA(/mol)	6.022E+23		
N (g/mol)	14		
Energy (MeV)	1000		
Cross section(mb)	10		
Number of Production of Be-7	3914.033866		



Production Cross section verification



Number of proton beam	5,000,00
Target length(cm)	2000
density(g/cm^3)	0.0009099
NA(/mol)	6.022E+
N (g/mol)	14
Number of Production of Be-7	1943
Energy (MeV)	1000
Cross Section(mb)	4.964188



Production Cross section verification $^{14}N + p \rightarrow ^{7}Be + X$ $N_{^7Be}$ $\sigma_p = \frac{1}{N_{proton}} \times density \times thickness$

Number of proton beam	5,000,000		
Target length(cm)	2000		
density(g/cm^3)	0.000909938		
NA(/mol)	6.022E+23		
N (g/mol)	14		
Energy (MeV)	1000		
Cross section(mb)	10		
Number of Production of Be-7	3914.033866		

Approximately 1/2 times

Number of proton beam	5,000,000		
Target length(cm)	2000		
density(g/cm^3)	0.000909938		
NA(/mol)	6.022E+23		
N (g/mol)	14		
Number of Production of Be-7	1943		
Energy (MeV)	1000		
Cross Section(mb)	4.964188013		



Measurement data







Measurement data





ISES Solar Cycle Sunspot Number Progression

Cause of Variation

1. Solar activity and cosmic ray intensity

2. Climatic conditions (precipitation, seasonal atmospheric circulation)

3. Measurement errors and sampling process

Comparison with Geant4 data



Comparison with Geant4 data ○기상청 기상자료개방포털

[+가] = 가] 로그아웃 | 사이트맵 ☆ 즐겨찾기 │ ENG(info)

기상청 날씨데이터 서비스

기상자료개방포털

	'관측'을 검색하세요			Q 인기검색어 🗸 Q	
_	데이터	API	기후통계분석 간행물	소통과 참여 기상현상증명 斗	-
	데이터 전체보기	관측 Constant of the second sec	예·특보 IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	지점	시간	평균기온(°C)	평균현지기압(hPa)	
	부산(159)	2023-01	3.8	1013.2	
	부산(159)	2023-02	6.8	1014.4	
	부산(159)	2023-03	12.3	1010.5	
	부산(159)	2023-04	14.6	1005.8	
	부산(159)	2023-05	17.9	1004.8	
	부산(159)	2023-06	22.5	999.8	
	부산(159)	2023-07	25.5	1001	
	부산(159)	2023-08	27.8	997.6	
	부산(159)	2023-09	24.5	1004.2	
	부산(159)	2023-10	18.3	1009.3	

지점	지점명	일시	평균기온(°C)	평균현지기압(hPa)
159	부산	2000. 1. 1.	4.2	1014.
159	부산	2000. 2. 1.	3.2	1011.
159	부산	2000. 3. 1.	9.1	1008.
159	부산	2000. 4. 1.	13.2	1004.
159	부산	2000. 5. 1.	17.3	1002.
159	부산	2000. 6. 1.	20.4	100
159	부산	2000. 7. 1.	25.3	998.
159	부산	2000. 8. 1.	26.7	1001.
159	부산	2000. 9. 1.	21.9	1003.
159	부산	2000. 10. 1.	18.2	101
159	부산	2000. 11. 1.	12.1	1013.
159	부산	2000. 12. 1.	7.3	1013.
159	부산	2001. 1. 1.	2.7	1012.
159	부산	2001. 2. 1.	5.7	1013.
159	부산	2001. 3. 1.	9.6	1005.
159	부산	2001. 4. 1.	15	100
159	부산	2001. 5. 1.	19	1002.
159	부산	2001. 6. 1.	21.6	999.
159	부산	2001. 7. 1.	26	1000.



Conclusion

- The comparison between the ${}^{7}Be$ production yield obtained through Geant4 simulations and the experimental data revealed that the simulation exhibited periodicity similar to the experiment due to the seasonal variations in atmospheric density.
- Some discrepancies are believed to stem from the lack of consideration for abnormal weather anomalies and changes in solar activity in the simulation.
- To enhance the realism of the simulation, it is necessary to incorporate meteorological data and solar activity indices.
- By addressing these factors, it will be possible to achieve more accurate predictions of Be
 production yield and develop a simulation model that aligns more closely with real-world conditions.

THANK YOU **2025 CENuM WorkShop PKNU SeungHoon LEE**



2025.01.17



